

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/626,828	FUJII ET AL.
Examiner		Art Unit	
PAUL S. HYUN		1797	

All Participants:

(1) PAUL S. HYUN.

(2) John Haran.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 26 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was initiated by the Examiner to expedite the prosecution of the application. Specifically, amendments to claims 2, 26 and 27 were proposed to expedite the allowance of the claims. With respect to claim 2, limitations clarifying the nexus between the claimed current detection circuit and the claimed discrimination means were proposed. With respect to claims 26 and 27, limitations that positively recite the method steps were proposed. The proposed amendments were approved by the Applicant and the Applicant's representative.